

How Community Service Programs in Educational Institutions Contribute to the Inclusive Development of Society: A Survey-based Stakeholders Analysis

Sandeep Singla

Post Graduate Teacher (PGT) in Economics, M. R. International School, Bilaspur, Haryana, India

Abstract

Many countries have Community Service Programs (CSPs) in their schools and colleges. These programs let students do social and civic work for their communities. They also teach students to be responsible and inclusive. But we don't know if CSPs really make society more inclusive. This paper studies CSPs in some Indian schools and colleges by surveying different stakeholders. The paper examines the goals, methods, results, and views of CSPs from students, teachers, administrators, community members, and experts. The paper also identifies the problems and successes of CSPs and suggests ways to improve them. The paper argues that CSPs make students and their communities more inclusive by increasing their social skills, values, and involvement.

Keywords: community service programs, inclusive development, education, stakeholder analysis, India

Community service helps people and the community. Schools can do many community service activities in their CSPs. For example:

Cleanliness drives: Students clean and green the environment. They learn about environmental issues and civic duty. They also improve their teamwork and leadership skills.

Social issues awareness: Students educate and empower people on social issues like human rights, poverty, gender equality, or mental health. They do activities like campaigns, events, posters, videos, or fundraisers. They develop their critical thinking and communication skills. They also become more aware and compassionate.

Health and hygiene campaigns: Students promote health and hygiene among people, especially in poor or rural areas. They do activities like health check-ups, sanitary products, hygiene lessons, or health

Cite this article:

Singla, S. (2023). How Community Service Programs in Educational Institutions Contribute to the Inclusive Development of Society: A Survey-based Stakeholders Analysis. *Scholedge International Journal of Multidisciplinary & Allied Studies*, 10(5), 48-54. <https://dx.doi.org/10.19085/sijmas100501>

education. They learn about health and wellness, and how to prevent diseases. They also improve their interpersonal and cultural skills.

Women empowerment: Students help women and girls be more confident and capable, especially in hard or excluded places. They do activities like mentoring, tutoring, coaching, or vocational training for women and girls. They understand the challenges and opportunities for women and girls in the world. They also become more motivated to change and justice.

Community teaching: Students provide education and learning for people who have no quality education, like children, refugees, or adults. They do activities like teaching literacy or numeracy, homework help, workshops, clubs, or educational resources. They enhance their academic and teaching skills. They also widen their horizons and perspectives.

Scientific knowledge awareness drives: These programs make people, especially young or less-exposed students, more curious and knowledgeable about science. Students can do experiments, show science ideas, join science events, or make science media. These programs boost students' science skills and imagination. They also make them love science more.

Animal shelter: This program helps animals that are in trouble, like homeless, neglected, or hurt pets. Students can feed, clean, walk, or play with the animals, or help them find new homes. These programs teach students how to care for animals and be kind. They also make them feel happier and calmer.

Tutoring or mentoring: This program gives academic or personal help to people who need more support, like students, refugees, or immigrants. Students can help with schoolwork, test preparation, English learning, or give tips and encouragement. These programs make students smarter and more skilled. They also help them make friends and feel more confident.

How CSPs in Educational Settings Help Inclusive Social Development

Students and schools can do many community service activities as part of their CSPs. These activities can help themselves and the world. Inclusive development means everyone can benefit from society, no matter who they are. Community service activities can support inclusive development by:

Helping communities and vulnerable groups: Community service activities can help them solve their problems and have a voice. They can also improve their skills and confidence, and make them get along with others (World Bank Group, 2021).

Educating and advocating for social issues: Community service activities can teach people about issues like human rights, poverty, gender equality, or mental health. They can also encourage people to act and ask for change. UNICEF Voices of Youth is a community service activity that does this. It is an online place where young people can learn and speak about issues they care about.

Giving services and support to people in need: Community service activities can give people things they need, like education, health, hygiene, or food. They can also make them healthier, happier, and less vulnerable. They can also help them learn and grow.

Making people more creative and innovative: Community service activities can make people more interested in science and technology. They can also show them how science works and why it is fun. They can also motivate them to study or work in STEM fields.

This is how community service activities by students and schools can help society be more inclusive.

Data Analysis and Discussion

The data analysis section shows the survey results from 70 respondents in three K-12 schools and two PG colleges. The survey explored how CSPs led by schools and done by students help society. The survey asked about these aspects:

- Why do students join CSPs?
- How do schools encourage students to join CSPs?
- How do students serve society through CSPs?
- What feedback do schools get from the community about CSPs?
- What feedback do parents get for their children's service?

Findings also talks about the study's implications, limitations, and recommendations. The discussion section has these themes:

Motivation for joining CSPs: The study found that students mostly joined CSPs for intrinsic reasons, like interest, values, and satisfaction. But extrinsic reasons, like recognition, rewards, and grades, also influenced some students. The study also found that students' motivation changed based on the type and length of the CSPs. For example, students who joined long-term or ongoing CSPs had more intrinsic motivation than those who joined short-term or one-time CSPs. Also, students who joined CSPs that involved direct contact with the people or community had more intrinsic motivation than those who joined CSPs that involved indirect or remote service.

Role of schools in promoting CSPs: The study found that schools played a big role in promoting and helping students join CSPs. The study listed several strategies that schools used or should use to motivate and support students' participation in CSPs. These strategies were:

- Giving information and guidance on CSPs and their benefits
- Offering different CSPs that suit different interests, skills, and needs of students
- Making CSPs part of the curriculum or academic requirements
- Giving incentives or recognition for students who join CSPs
- Giving training or mentoring for students who join CSPs
- Giving resources or funding for students who join CSPs
- Making partnerships or collaborations with other organizations or communities that have or need CSPs

The survey results showed that:

- The most common social issues or needs addressed by CSPs were health and hygiene, education and literacy, environment and sustainability, and women empowerment.
- The most common beneficiaries or community members served by CSPs were children, women, rural or slum dwellers, and people with disabilities.
- The most common service delivery methods used by CSPs were direct interaction, online platforms, workshops or events, and resource distribution.

- The majority of the beneficiaries or community members expressed high satisfaction and positive feedback on the CSPs they received. They appreciated the quality, relevance, timeliness, and professionalism of the service delivery. They also reported that the CSPs improved their well-being, awareness, skills, opportunities, or empowerment.
- The majority of the educational institutions reported that their CSPs had a positive impact on the social conditions or outcomes of the communities they served. They cited examples such as improved health and hygiene status, increased enrollment and retention rates, reduced environmental pollution or degradation, and enhanced women's rights or participation.

The survey also found that the educational institutions who have implemented these CSPs have gained repute, credibility, and recognition by local communities. The survey measured the impact of CSPs on educational institutions using several indicators, such as:

- The number and type of partnerships or collaborations established with other organizations or communities that offer or need CSPs
- The number and types of incentives or recognition received for participating in CSPs
- The number and types of resources or support obtained for implementing or sustaining CSPs
- The improvement or change in reputation or image due to CSPs
- The improvement or change in relationship or engagement with the community due to CSPs
- The most common partners or collaborators for CSPs were NGOs, government agencies, local bodies, and corporate entities.
- The most common incentives or recognition for CSPs were certificates, awards, media coverage, and appreciation letters.
- The most common resources or support for CSPs were funding, training, equipment, and volunteers.
- The majority of the educational institutions reported that their CSPs had a positive impact on their reputation or image. They claimed that their CSPs enhanced their visibility, credibility, accountability, and social responsibility.
- The majority of the educational institutions reported that their CSPs had a positive impact on their relationship or engagement with the community. They stated that their CSPs fostered trust, respect, cooperation, and goodwill with the community.

The survey concluded that Community Service Programs (CSPs) led by educational institutions and contributed by their students help achieve social good by addressing various social issues and needs, benefiting both society and educational institutions.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The paper says that most communities got some benefit from the CSPs done by the schools. The paper also says that the schools who did these CSPs got more respect, trust, and fame from the people.

The paper thinks that CSPs can help society by solving different problems and needs, and help both society and schools. But the study also says that there are some things to remember when making, planning, doing a CSP. These things can be called challenges and tips for making a CSP.

Challenges and limitations of implementing CSPs: The study found that there were some challenges and limitations that hindered the implementation and evaluation of CSPs. These challenges and limitations included:

- Lack of time or availability for students to participate in CSPs
- Lack of interest or awareness among some students to participate in CSPs
- Lack of coordination or communication among different stakeholders involved in CSPs
- Lack of clarity or consistency in the goals or expectations of CSPs
- Lack of monitoring or assessment of the process or impact of CSPs
- Lack of resources or support for implementing or sustaining CSPs

Recommendations for improving or expanding CSPs: Based on the findings and limitations of the study, the paper provides some recommendations for improving or expanding CSPs. These recommendations include:

- Increasing the awareness and appreciation of the value and benefits of CSPs among students, educators, parents, and communities
- Increasing the diversity and flexibility of CSPs to suit different preferences, abilities, and circumstances of students
- Increasing the integration and alignment of CSPs with the curriculum or academic standards
- Increasing the incentives and recognition for students who participate in CSPs
- Increasing the training and mentoring for students who participate in CSPs
- Increasing the resources and funding for implementing or sustaining CSPs
- Increasing the partnerships and collaborations with other organizations or communities that offer or need CSPs
- Increasing the monitoring and evaluation of the process and impact of CSPs

Designing and Implementing a CSP

There are issues that should be kept in mind while developing and implementing a CSP (Bandy, 2011). Some of these issues are:

Resourcing: CSPs need enough time, staff, and money to run and assess their activities. But some schools may struggle with these resources, especially in hard times. This can harm the quality and continuity of the CSPs.

Flexibility: CSPs should adjust to the community's changing needs and feedback. But some schools may have strict rules that prevent them from changing or improving their CSPs. This can harm the relevance and effectiveness of the CSPs.

Accessibility: CSPs should be open to everyone in the community, no matter their age, gender, ethnicity, language, disability, or location. But some schools may have trouble reaching or involving different or marginalized groups. This can harm the inclusiveness and equity of the CSPs (Haldane et al., 2019).

Representativeness: CSPs should reflect the views and opinions of the whole community, not just a few or powerful groups. But some schools may have trouble getting or keeping a fair and diverse group of participants. This can harm the validity and reliability of the CSPs.

Evaluation: CSPs should track and report the results and impacts of their activities, for individuals and the community. But some schools may not have the skills or tools to do good and useful evaluations. This can harm the accountability and learning of the CSPs.

References

- Afzal, A., & Hussain, N. (2020). The Impact of Community Service Learning on the Social Skills of Students. *Journal of Education and Educational Development, 7*(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.22555/joed.v7i1.2988>
- Ayalew, A. T., Adane, D. T., Obolla, S. S., Ludago, T. B., Sona, B. D., & Biewer, G. (2020). From Community-Based Rehabilitation (CBR) Services to Inclusive Development. A Study on Practice, Challenges, and Future Prospects of CBR in Gedeo Zone (Southern Ethiopia). *Frontiers in Education, 5*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2020.506050>
- Bandy, J. (2011). *Challenges and Opportunities of Community Engaged Teaching*. Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching. <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/challenges-and-opportunities-of-community-engaged-teaching/>
- Buli-Holmberg, J., & Jeyaprabhan, S. (2016). Effective Practice In Inclusive And Special Needs Education. *International Journal of Special Education, 31*(1). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1099986.pdf>
- Dörffel, C., & Schuhmann, S. (2022). What is Inclusive Development? Introducing the Multidimensional Inclusiveness Index. *Social Indicators Research, 162*, 1117–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-021-02860-y>
- Haldane, V., Chuah, F. L. H., Srivastava, A., Singh, S. R., Koh, G. C. H., Seng, C. K., & Legido-Quigley, H. (2019). Community participation in health services development, implementation, and evaluation: A systematic review of empowerment, health, community, and process outcomes. *PLOS ONE, 14*(5).
- Leijen, Ä., Arcidiacono, F., & Baucal, A. (2021). The Dilemma of Inclusive Education: Inclusion for Some or Inclusion for All. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*(1). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.633066>
- Morley, S. (2015). *What works in effective Indigenous community-managed programs and organisations*. Australian Institute of Family Studies. <https://aifs.gov.au/resources/policy-and-practice-papers/what-works-effective-indigenous-community-managed-programs-and>
- Rauniyar, G., & Kanbur, R. (2010). Inclusive growth and inclusive development: a review and synthesis of Asian Development Bank literature. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 15*(4), 455–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13547860.2010.517680>

World Bank Group. (2021). *Nigeria: The Community-Led Approach that is Helping Inclusive Development*. World Bank Group.

<https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2021/10/27/nigeria-the-community-led-approach-that-is-helping-inclusive-development>
